SPRING SCHOOL ON IMMUNOLOGY

Ettal, Bavaria, March 6 - 11, 2022

Flow and Image Cytometry Essentials with Recent Innovations for Single Cell Analysis

Diether Recktenwald, BD Biosciences, retired Desatoya LLC, Reno NV, USA http://www.desatoya.com

Key Reference Abbreviation

In this document "GUIDELINES" is used for Cossarizza, Andrea, et al. "Guidelines for the use of flow cytometry and cell sorting in immunological studies." European journal of immunology 49.10 (2019): 1457-1973

The GUIDELINES contain contributions from 337 experienced scientists from more than a hundred institutes worldwide, describing their recommendations for the optimal use of flow cytometry.

References with a DOI number can be located with an Internet search.

Why Cell Subset Analysis at the Single Cell Level



Subpopulation analysis detects changes better, especially for rare subpopulations.

Why Single Cell Analysis



Cell by cell intensity analysis detects population heterogeneity.

Technologies for single cell analysis

- Microscopy
 - Super-resolution
 - High parameter cyclical fluorescence
 - In-vivo
- Single cells in separate defined locations
 - Wells of multi-well plates
 - Aqueous droplets in oil
- Flow cytometry
 - Optical property detection incl. in-vivo
 - Mass label detection (CyTOF)

Information from single cell analysis

- * Cell-concentration
- * Cell size

* Subset fractions* Cell shape

- * Cell arrangement in clusters
- * Mass of multiple cellular components per cell
- * Distribution of component mass in subsets
- Temporal change of the above parameters
- Gene expression (NGS)

Non direct cell applications

- * Highly multiplexed bead-based immunoassays
- * Single molecule counting

Microscopy

Cell analysis measuring a variety of optical properties of cells and tissue in a fixed location with high spatial resolution with or without labels e.g. light transmission, light scatter (dark field), polarization, Raman, fluorescence



Ernst Karl Abbe Physicist 1840-1905 Zeiss microscopes (images from zeiss.com)



High speed imaging flow cytometry with droplet sorting capability



Schraivogel D et al. Science 375.6578 (2022): 315ff

Technology originated at UCLA in Prof. Bahram Jalali's group. An example of successful physical and life sciences collaboration.

Eric D. Diebold, BrandonW. Buckley, Daniel R. Gossett and Bahram Jalali, Nature Photonics, (2013)

Single cells isolated in aqueous compartments

Dispensing single cells in small microwells or isolating them in aqueous droplets, moving in microfluidic channels micro reaction chambers are generated.

Multi-step chemistry is performed and results are measured by direct microscopic observation or by NA sequencing after barcoding of resulting DNA or RNA to assign sequences to individual cells.



WilliamsR2015 The Scientist

Flow Cytometry



Dichroic filters vs. Multispectral cytometry: Feher K et al.(2016) Cytometry 89A: 681-9



Instrument Evaluation Br, Qr



Br, optical background from

- Cell autofluorescence
- Flow cell reflections
- Ambient light
- Free fluorochrome
- Raman scatter
- Spectral overlap

Qr, photon detection efficiency







Figures: Joe Trotter, BD Biosciences

Signal Overlap and "Compensation"

Calculation of concentrations from optical/mass intensities

 $I_{1} = a_{11} * c_{1} + a_{12} * c_{2} + a_{13} * c_{3}$ $I_{2} = a_{21} * c_{1} + a_{22} * c_{2} + a_{23} * c_{3}$ $I_{3} = a_{31} * c_{1} + a_{32} * c_{2} + a_{33} * c_{3}$

- a_{ik} : "compensation" matrix numbers
- I_i : measured intensities
- c_k : label concentrations

Solve n equations with n unknowns (in spectral cytometry more complex calculations are performed.)

GUIDELINES Compensation, pages 1484-88





"Spectral" Flow Cytometry



Table 3. Comparison of background (B), Q value, and detection limit (DL) of standard filter setting and multispectral filter setting for QSC microspheres stained with CD4 FITC or CD4 PE

| PARAMETER | 530/30 | 585/40 | MULTISPECTRAL |
|---|---------|---------|---------------|
| Detection wavelength (nm) | 515–545 | 565–605 | 505-810 |
| Q _{FITC} (phe ⁻ /ABC) | 0.004 | _ | 0.04 |
| $Q_{\rm PE}$ (phe ⁻ /ABC) | _ | 0.02 | 0.14 |
| <i>B</i> (phe ⁻) | 9 | 32 | 63 |
| DL _{FITC} (ABC) | 320 | _ | 59 |
| $DL_{PE}(ABC)$ | _ | 875 | 231 |

FeherK2016 DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.22888

Full spectrum analysis generally collects more photons and as a result a lower limit of detection for fluorescence is achieved.

Label Selection

- Detection System
- Brightness
- Spectral Overlap
- Application (surface vs. internal)





Brightness and Separation

Spectral Overlap and Separation

More info: Maecker HT et al. (2004) Cytometry 62A:169-173

Reagent performance $\frac{Medium_{po}}{2^*}$



Optimizing cytometry measurements

 Gain (PMT, CMOS, CCD) settings

• Data Display

Controls



J. Trotter, BD Biosciences

Rare Cell Analysis

Examples CD34, AC133, antigen specific cells, CTCs

- Poisson count statistics
- Population Separation
- Subset pre-enrichment







Ignoring Counting Statistics Can Lead to Erroneous Conclusions



Population Separation

GUIDELINES Rare Cells: General Rules, pages 1523 – 26, 1846-7

Multi-marker Cell Analysis Points To Consider

- Know your instrument status e.g. Qr & Br for different channels
- Use optimal detector settings e.g. high gain to maximize sensitivity (check to avoid off-scale events)
- An poor separation conditions for a single marker analysis will be even worse for a multi-marker measurement
- Use high sensitivity labels for low expression markers
- High sensitivity does not help against non-specific binding
- For energy transfer fluorophors beware of spectral drifts by photo-degradation
- Internal controls are essential
- Be aware of counting statistics limitations for low count populations

Cell Sorting Technologies

- Classical droplet sorters (FACSTM)
- Single Cell dispensers
- Tyto/OWL
- DEP sorter
- . . .
- BulkSorting (Magnetic, Gravity, Acoustic, ...)



DEPArrayTM System



 $MACSQuant {\bf \ensuremath{\mathbb R}} Tyto^{TM}$

Conclusions / Caveats

• For optimal results use an adequate technology

(flow cytometry has enormous capabilities, but is not always the adequate technology to use e.g. single cell kinetics)

Understand the limitations of the system

(complexity, limits of detection, non-specific binding of reagents, \dots)

- Use appropriate statistical methods (understand variance of very low counts during rare cell analysis)
- Validate results with appropriate controls

Examples of New Detection Technologies

MALDI imaging

(high parameter in-vitro imaging using mass spectrometry)

Label-free imaging with Raman (measuring cellular components by their Raman spectra)

Microlasers for high parameter cytometry (ultra-narrow bands of light emission)

Label-free medium resolution NMR imaging (chemical environment sensing)





New Detector-Label Combinations

300

400

500

600

Wavelength [nm]

700

800

nirvanasciences.com/

900

 New photodetectors extend the available spectrum

> (Si avalanche photodiodes extend detection into the far infrared)

 New dyes add excitation in the UV, some detection in the IR (Fluorescent polymers, bacteriochlorins, ...)



Conclusions Evolving Technologies

Technology developments in algorithms, computing, detectors, electronics, nanotechnology, microfluidics, organic chemistry, and recombinant protein technology create the basis for new reliable analytical approaches for a deeper molecular understanding of living systems.

There is substantial value in working with other scientific disciplines.

Acknowledgements

- Joe Trotter
- Bob Hoffman
- Ed Goldberg
- Hrair Kirakossian
- Brent Gaylord
- Mike Brasch
- Ben Verwer
- Eric Diebold
- Liping Yu
- Brian Warner
- D ...
- (all while at BD)

- Holden Maecker, Stanford
- Martin Büscher, Miltenyi
- Christian Dose, Miltenyi
- Ming Yan, CYTEK
- □ Eric Chase, CYTEK
- Maria Jaimes, CYTEK
- Janette Phi, Applied Cells
- ···· •
- BD Biosciences
- Miltenyi Biotec
- CYTEK Biosciences
- σ ...

Contact: Email: diether@desatoya.com Phone: USA-408-658-6074 More science detail and references: http://www.desatoya.com